## Spectral distributions in parsec-scale AGN jets

Talvikki Hovatta Aalto University, Metsähovi Radio Observatory

on behalf of the MOJAVE collaboration





#### **MOJAVE** Collaboration

- M. Lister (P.I.), J. Richards (Purdue)
- T. Arshakian, M. Böck, E. Clausen-Brown, A. Lobanov, T. Savolainen, J. A. Zensus (Max Planck Inst. for Radioastronomy)
- M. and H. Aller (Michigan)
- M. Cohen (Caltech)
- D. Homan (Denison)
- T. Hovatta (Metsähovi)
- M. Kadler (U. Erlangen-Bamberg)
- K. Kellermann (NRAO)
- Y. Kovalev (ASC Lebedev)
- A. Pushkarev (Crimean Astrophysical Observatory)
- E. Ros (Valencia)



The MOJAVE Program is supported under NASA Fermi Grant 11-Fermi11-0019 and NSF grant 0406923-AST.



## Motivation

- Physical conditions in the jet
  - If the emission is produced by a power-law distribution of electrons N(E) = N<sub>0</sub>E<sup>·p</sup>, the optically thin spectrum can be described as  $I_{\nu} \propto \nu^{\alpha}$ , where  $\alpha = (1-p)/2$
- Not many large sample studies of spectral distributions on parsec scales
  - Individual objects have been studied since the 1960s
- MOJAVE multiwavelength dataset from 2006 is ideal for this

# Sample and data

- Observations in 2006 with the VLBA within the MOJAVE program
- 191 objects in total
  - 133 flat-spectrum radio quasars (FSRQs)
  - 33 BL Lac objects
  - 21 radio galaxies
  - 4 optically un-identified objects
- Four frequency bands 8.1, 8.4, 12.1 and 15.4 GHz
- Spectra are calculated by fitting a power law to the total intensity data
- All of the following results are presented in Hovatta et al. 2014, AJ, 147, 143 (MOJAVE XI)

## Spectral index maps



### Some definitions



### Spectra of the cores



 $\frac{|-\langle S \rangle}{\langle S \rangle}$ 

- Spectra of most core components are flat with a mean at 0.2
- More inverted spectra are in objects that are flaring



Spectral index in core components

# Distribution of spectral index in the jets



- Power law works for > 90% of the jet components
- Two interesting notes:
- The spectra is flatter at component locations (mean -0.81) than the median value on the jet ridge (mean -1.04)
- BL Lacs have on average flatter spectra (mean -0.64) compared to FSRQs (mean -0.85)

#### Difference between FSRQs and BL Lacs



- In BL Lacs the polarization of the components is more aligned with the local jet direction than in the FSRQs
- The overall trend of flatter spectra with smaller alignment is consistent with shocks in jets
- BL Lac components have higher polarization fractions, indicative of jets with more shocks
- Our results are consistent with single-dish observations by the UMRAO group (Aller et al. 1999, Hughes 2005, Aller et al. 2003)

### Spectral index steepening





• Spectra steepen on average by -0.45 when comparing values at the edge of the core and end of the jet

# Interpretation of the steepening

- Several alternatives can explain the steepening of the spectra as a function of distance and/or age
- 1. Observational effects, such as the (u,v) coverage
- Radiative losses i.e. a synchrotron cooling break (Kardashev 1962)
- 3. Evolution of the maximum Lorentz factor of the electron distribution  $\gamma_{\rm max}$
- 4. Changes in the particle acceleration process

## 1. Observational effects



- Detailed simulations show that changes in the (u,v) coverage between the frequency bands can only explain a steepening of -0.1
- => The steepening is mostly intrinsic to the jets

## 2. Radiative losses

- Synchrotron losses introduce a break of -0.5 in the spectrum (Kardashev 1962)
- If the jet is *collimating*, the break frequency moves to a *lower* frequency as the component ages, steepening the spectra by -0.5
- If the jet is conical, the break frequency moves to a higher frequency and cannot explain the steepening we see
- Several recent studies indicate that jets on parsecscales are conical (Jorstad et al. 2005, Pushkarev et al. 2009, Clausen-Brown et al. 2013), but collimating jets cannot be ruled out.

# 3. Evolution of $\gamma_{max}$

- If no injection occur, γ<sub>max</sub> decreases in time due to radiative and adiabatic losses
- Steepening of -0.5 can be explained if the components expand by a modest factor as they move down the jet
- This works for conical jets



# 4. Change in particle acceleration

- If the power-law index of the underlying electron distribution changes, so does the observed spectrum
  - This could be due to time evolution of the distribution of the pre-accelerated particles
  - Due to the particle acceleration process itself
  - Or some other acceleration related process
- None of these are easily testable and cannot be ruled out

## Summary

- Spectra of the cores is nearly flat with a spectral index of 0.22. Flaring sources show more inverted spectra.
- The jet spectral index in FSRQs (mean -0.85) is significantly steeper than in the BL Lacs (-0.64), which can be explained with BL Lac jets having more shocks
- The spectra flattens at component locations and there is a significant correlation between the polarization direction and spectra, further indicating shocks in jets
- The jet spectra steepen on average by -0.45, which can be explained with radiative losses if the jets are collimating or with time evolution of  $\gamma_{\rm max}$  if the jets are conical